

## Guidelines for Writing Sample

Applicant's Name

Please complete the components below describing an area of clinical problem. The DNP writing sample may have a direct care or administrative purpose.

APA style citations are required and this should be written in a scholarly voice. Incomplete writing samples or samples that do not use this template will not be reviewed and the application will be considered incomplete at the Capstone College of Nursing.

**Problem or Issue** - Identify a problem or issue associated with the clinical management of a specific patient cohort or a specific administrative/leadership issue. use appropriate citation to 1000) support the issue. (character limit with spaces 1000)

**Patient Cohort** - Describe a potential patient cohort or a specific health care organization or unit. Use appropriate citation to support your statement. (character limit with spaces 1000)

**Potential Solution** - Describe one potential evidence-based solution. Use appropriate citation to support your solution. (character limit with spaces 1000)

**Related Research** - Discuss related research studies from the literature, evidence-based practice guidelines, protocols, and/or models for best practices that relate to the solution. Include appropriate and current citation. (character limit with spaces 1800)

**Potential Clinical Outcome** - Describe one potential outcome and outline how you could measure that outcome. Be specific about the measurement. (character limit with spaces 1500)

**Citation - APA required (no character limit)**

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Score \_\_\_\_/12

### DNP Admission Writing Sample RUBRIC

<b>CRITERIA</b>	<b>-3- Exceeds Expectations</b>	<b>-2- Meets Expectations</b>	<b>-1- Needs Improvement</b>	<b>-0- Inadequate</b>
<b>Structure</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Organization</li> <li>• Flow of thought</li> <li>• Transitions</li> <li>• Format</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Logically organized</li> <li>• Easily followed</li> <li>• Effective, smooth; logical transitions</li> <li>• Professional format</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clear organizational structure</li> <li>• Easily followed</li> <li>• Basic transitions</li> <li>• Structured format</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Some level of organization</li> <li>• Difficult to follow</li> <li>• Ineffective transitions</li> <li>• Rambling format</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No apparent organization</li> <li>• Difficult to follow</li> <li>• No or poor transitions</li> <li>• No format</li> </ul>
<b>Grammar/mechanics</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sentence structure</li> <li>• Punctuation/mechanics</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Complex sentences with impact</li> <li>• No punctuation or mechanical errors</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Complex sentences with unclear impact</li> <li>• Few punctuation or mechanical errors</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Compound, but not complex sentences</li> <li>• Multiple punctuation and/or mechanical errors</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Uses simple sentences</li> </ul>
<b>Language</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Vocabulary</li> <li>• Tone</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sophisticated and correct</li> <li>• Sentences vary in structure and length</li> <li>• Uses subject specific vocabulary for effect</li> <li>• Tone is clear, consistent and appropriate</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Varied, specific, and appropriate</li> <li>• Frequently uses subject specific vocabulary</li> <li>• Tone emerges and is generally appropriate</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Simple sentences with proper vocabulary</li> <li>• Infrequently uses subject specific vocabulary</li> <li>• Tone exhibits some level of audience sensitivity</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Unsophisticated, not used properly</li> <li>• Very simple sentences</li> <li>• Uses subject specific vocabulary sparingly</li> </ul>
<b>Content/information</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarity of purpose</li> <li>• Critical and original thought</li> <li>• Use of examples</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Central idea well developed</li> <li>• Clarity of purpose present throughout</li> <li>• Abundant critical, and careful thought, analysis and/or insight</li> <li>• Evidence and examples are vivid and specific</li> <li>• Focus remains tight</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Central idea clear</li> <li>• Clarity of purpose generally evident throughout</li> <li>• Evidence of critical, careful thought, analysis and/or insight</li> <li>• Relevant supporting examples, evidence</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Central idea expressed, but vague or broad</li> <li>• Some sense of purpose is maintained</li> <li>• Some evidence of critical, careful thought, analysis and/or insight</li> <li>• Some examples and evidence, though general</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Central idea and clarity of purpose absent or incompletely expressed and maintained</li> <li>• Little or no evidence of critical, careful thought or analysis and/or insight</li> <li>• Examples are few, absent, or irrelevant</li> </ul>

Score range: 0 to 12.